



# Examiners' Report January 2011

# GCE Physics 6PH01 01





Edexcel is one of the leading examining and awarding bodies in the UK and throughout the world. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers.

Through a network of UK and overseas offices, Edexcel's centres receive the support they need to help them deliver their education and training programmes to learners.

For further information, please call our GCE line on 0844 576 0025, our GCSE team on 0844 576 0027, or visit our website at <u>www.edexcel.com</u>

If you have any subject specific questions about the content of this Examiners' Report that require the help of a subject specialist, you may find our **Ask The Expert** email service helpful.

Ask The Expert can be accessed online at the following link: <a href="http://www.edexcel.com/Aboutus/contact-us/">http://www.edexcel.com/Aboutus/contact-us/</a>

Alternatively, you can contact our Physics Subject Advisor directly by sending an email to Stephen Nugus on <u>ScienceSubjectAdvisor@EdexcelExperts.co.uk</u>

You can also telephone 0844 576 0037 to speak to a member of our subject advisor team.

## **Results**Plus

ResultsPlus is Edexcel's free online tool that offers teachers unrivalled insight into exam performance.

You can use this valuable service to see how your students performed according to a range of criteria - at cohort, class or individual student level.

- Question-by-question exam analysis
- Skills maps linking exam performance back to areas of the specification
- Downloadable exam papers, mark schemes and examiner reports
- Comparisons to national performance

For more information on ResultsPlus, or to log in, visit <u>www.edexcel.com/resultsplus</u> To set up your ResultsPlus account, call 0844 576 0024

January 2011

Publications Code US026585

All the material in this publication is copyright  $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$  Edexcel Ltd 2011

## Introduction

There was a good range of performance in this paper, with all candidates having opportunities to demonstrate their understanding and show progression from GCSE, although candidates continue to show better performance with calculations than explanations. While there was an improvement in selecting and quoting relevant formulae to inform and support explanations, there was often a lack of precision in applying some of the physics terminology. Some candidates did not show sufficient clarity in setting out explanations to demonstrate fully their understanding of the situations being described.

Problems with significant figures in 'show that' questions, the use of 'gravity' instead of 'weight' and the use of  $g = 10 \text{ m s}^{-2}$  were much more rare than in some previous series.

#### Section A

Performance was good on most multiple choice items in Section A, with many candidates at the higher grades giving 10 correct responses.

Questions 2 and 5 elicited over 90% correct responses, and 1, 7, 8, 9 and 10 over 75%. Just over half of the candidates answered questions 3 and 6 correctly.

In some cases the preferred incorrect answers are informative.

In question 3, candidates with an incorrect response rarely chose kW h or W s, but most often selected N m. The use of quantity algebra might help them to connect N m with J when defining work.

Candidates drawing a labelled diagram were more likely to get the correct answer to question 4, but sine was rarely chosen with or without diagrams.

Nearly half chose an incorrect answer to question 6, but they rarely chose answer A, answers B and C being of similar popularity. This indicates a poor general understanding of Newton's Third Law, apart from the forces being of equal magnitude and in opposite directions. Many candidates still need to learn that the forces act on different bodies and are the same type of force. This may be aided by learning more than the epigrammatic 'to every action there is an equal and opposite reaction' form of the law. Students might do better by learning about what happens 'when body A exerts a force on body B etc', and by practising with simple examples, such as in question 14 on the January 2009 6PH01 paper.

Candidates giving an incorrect response to question 8 nearly always chose A - ductile, showing a confusion (also seen in question 18) between compression and tension.

Question 9 saw about a fifth of candidates saying a ball bearing would fall faster through hotter oil and in question 10 a similar number indicated that they think work is a vector quantity.



Make a two column table and list the properties of the forces in a Newton's third law pair that are the same in one column and the properties that are different in the other.

Question	Correct Responses
1	86%
2	95%
3	53%
4	71%
5	92%
6	55%
7	86%
8	79%
9	78%
10	75%

#### **Question 11**

Nearly all candidates showed that they could recognise Newton's first and second laws of motion, with well over half getting at least 3 marks. By using imprecise wording, however, many lost one or more of the four marks available for stating the laws.

Marks were lost for the first law by making no reference to direction, which could be done by including 'velocity', 'acceleration' or 'straight line' in the statement, and for failing to specify 'resultant' force, often referring to an 'external' force only. Some candidates discussed equal forces rather than balanced forces.

The second law was commonly F = ma in symbols or in words, without specifying 'resultant' force. Resultant was missed in the second law more frequently than in the first. Candidates using the formula sheet would have seen SF = ma, but sigma was not included nor was its significance explained.

A few candidates quoted Newton's third law.

Many candidates satisfactorily linked the two laws, but in some cases they merely requoted one or the other or said that they both involved acceleration.

Most answers were structured clearly, stating first one law, then the next, and then comparing them. This helped to gain the last mark.



. This has illustrated Newton's first law.

## **Results**Plus

Examiner Comments

This gets 3 marks, with a better final explanation than the previous example. Both laws need a reference to resultant force. 'In equilibrium' is used a bit variably, but the essential points are there.



Learn full versions of Newton's laws of motion thoroughly so you can quote them accurately.

### Question 12

Responses to this question often displayed a lack of focus on the question asked, lack of clarity in the language used and, unlike question 11, lack of structure in setting out explanations. Candidates should be reminded of the quality of written communication requirement that, 'Work must be clear and organised in a logical manner using technical wording where appropriate'.

Under a third of the candidature gained more than two marks, and the two marks most frequently awarded were for quoting relevant formulae.

Digressions frequently encountered by the examiners included references to the cost of weights (schools apparently can't afford many), the size of the force required to break the wire and making the experiment quicker to complete because it would break sooner. There were also many dissertations on the properties of copper as a ductile material or detailed descriptions of how to carry out the experiment.

Candidates who may well have realised that the wire described would maximise the extension for a given force and why that would be advantage often suggested it indirectly by rather vague references to making the extension visible or making it easier to measure. They didn't state that it would be easier to measure because the extension would be greater.

Candidates frequently quoted stress = force/area, but rarely mentioned that the area would be smaller, which could have led them on to increased stress and greater extension in a logical sequence. When they quoted strain = extension/length they usually suggested that a greater length would give a greater strain rather than that the same strain would entail a greater extension. Candidates did not all appreciate that the Young modulus is a constant and thought that the long, thin wire would increase it - even when they defined it as stress/strain and said strain would increase!

So, while candidates often started well by quoting relevant formulae, they need to consider the variables in the formulae and the effect of changing them on the outcome. They just need to ask themselves questions like, "If I increase x, then will y increase or decrease?"

\*12 Explain why the wire used when measuring the Young Modulus of copper in a school laboratory is long and thin. (5)a Long thin wire is used so that the students can see the breaking point of the wire is easily seen so the cross sectional value can be measured, as well as the extension of the wire being easily measured, and not too long before the wire snaps. **Results**<sup>P</sup>us **Examiner Comments** This candidate focuses on breaking point, which is not required for the Young modulus, and how long it takes. Cross sectional area is mentioned, but its size isn't commented upon. It says the extension is easily measured, but not anything about what makes it easily measured, i.e. a larger extension. (4) Brittle Materials have little to king plashe region, So soon after reaching their elastic limit they will fracture, whereas ductile moverials have a large plastic region before fracture. **Examiner Comments** This candidate gets 4 marks by quoting the relevant formulae and a bit of logical development: decreases area, so less force required, because stress = force/area. It also says extension is more easily read with a long wire, but doesn't say it is because the extension is greater.

### Question 13(a)

The first words in part (a) were 'Use the graphs to help you', but many candidates felt able to proceed quite unaided, describing brittle in terms of shattering and ductile in terms of being drawn into wires which did not refer to features of the graphs.

About three quarters of candidates gained at least one mark, and usually lost the other through imprecise descriptions, most frequently referring to brittle as breaking with little deformation, omitting plastic, or failing to qualify the plastic deformation of ductile materials as significant in extent. Overall, more marks were awarded for brittle than ductile.

(a) Use the graphs to help you describe brittle and ductile behaviour. (2)				
Brittle materials break or crack with little deformation,				
which is why the live just stops instead of bending away.				
Ductile materials plastically depen which is why the line				
Starts bending and ourring.				
Examiner Comments				
This refers to the graph, but lacks some essential Examiner Tip				
detail. It says brittle has little deformation, without specifying plastic, and doesn't describe the significant extent of plastic deformation for durtile				
required level of detail.				
Brittle materials have little to keep plashic region,				
so soon after reaching their elastic limit they				
will fracture, whereas ductile malerials have a				
large plastic region before fracture.				
<b>Results</b> Examiner Comments				
This is an example with the added level of				

detail, gaining full marks.

A good majority got both marks for part (b). The most frequent omission was to describe porcelain as brittle and errors included references to hardness, so it wouldn't dent, to malleability or ductility, so it could be reshaped, to hardness, so that the pieces would be large or to there being little elastic deformation, rather than little plastic deformation or just little deformation.

UV V (b) In 2006, three Chinese vases, dating from the 17th Century, were smashed when a man fell down the stairs at the Fitzwilliam Museum in Cambridge. The vases were made of porcelain. A restoration expert put the vases back together. She said, "It wasn't a difficult job. The museum collected all the pieces and they fitted back together perfectly." Explain why it was possible to fit the pieces back together perfectly. (2)Porcelain is a tough and hard material which the helped to reduced the scretches and indertable so the broken peices were large and clean cuts making them easier to place back together. **Results**Plus **Examiner Comments** This chooses the wrong properties and refers to large pieces. Clean cut may indicate no change of shape, but is not clear enough. THE PIECES WILL NOT HAVE DEFORMED PLASTICALLY, PORCELAIN IS VERY BRITTLE SO THE VARE LOOULD HAVE SHATTERED INSTEAD of DENTS ETC. OCCURING. THE PIECES WOULD FIT BACK TO CETHER AS NO PLASTIC DEFORMATION ON VASE. (Total for Question 13 = 4 marks) **Results**Plus **Examiner Comments** This states that the material is brittle and describes the effect to get full marks.

https://xtremepape.rs/

## Question 14(a)

In part (a), three quarters gave a sensible assumption, but only about a fifth attempted the correct calculation based on conservation of energy, equating the decrease in gravitational potential energy to the gain in kinetic energy.

The majority of students attempted to use an equation of motion, usually  $v^2 = u^2 + 2as$ . These equations are for motion with uniform acceleration, which was not the case here, as shown by the two diagrams of the wire, and so were not appropriate. Candidates applying this equation used  $g = 9.81 \text{ m s}^{-2}$  as the acceleration, which is another error as this acceleration was not in the direction of motion. Most used 0.6 m as *s*, but some used 14 m, which meant the path followed, the acceleration and the displacement were all in different directions.

This was similar to many responses in June 2010 for a bowling ball pendulum and candidates will need to get used to identifying which situations may be solved by equations of motion, which may be solved by conservation of energy and which may be solved by both.



2





https://xtremepape.rs/

#### Question 14(b)

In part (b), a good majority labelled the downward force exerted by the girl and the seat ('weight' was accepted) and most of those also labelled tension with two appropriate arrows. Some candidates added an extra force, usually vertically upwards, sometimes labelled reaction.

A majority of candidates started the calculation by finding weight correctly, but some experienced difficulty with the trigonometric expressions, about a third completing it correctly. Mistakes in the calculation included using tangent instead of sine, and interpreting 2 sin 2° as sin 4°. The 'show that' answer given plainly reminded a number of candidates to divide by two at some stage. Clear diagrams appeared to help.

Please note that the number of arrows, or forces, need not correspond to the number of marks for a diagram.





#### Question 15(a)

In part (a), the great majority labelled the diagram correctly, drag being the most common omission. There were very few additional forces shown and little use of 'gravity' instead of 'weight'.

Candidates usually gave part of the condition required, but often lost a mark through imprecise expression. They frequently made the ambiguous statement that weight must equal upthrust *and* drag, or said the forces must be equal, instead of using weight = upthrust + drag. Having related the sizes of the forces, they did not always state that this meant the forces were now in equilibrium or said there would be no acceleration. They were asked to *explain* and there were 2 marks, so candidates should expect to state a condition and a consequence of that condition.







This repeats a fairly common mistake, saying weight equals upthrust AND drag. It should say weight = upthrust PLUS drag.

#### Question 15(b)(i-iii)

For (b)(i) about half gave a sensible line and almost all completed a recognisable diagrammatic representation of the definition of turbulent flow in part (ii).

A good majority described the difference between laminar and turbulent flow for part (iii). Those failing to get this mark sometimes described laminar simply as smooth or streamlined, neither of which is sufficient. Some stated that laminar flow is in straight lines, when they possibly meant parallel. Others said that the velocity is the same everywhere, which rather misses the point of considering a gradation of speeds between adjacent layers of fluid, when they meant that the velocity at a point remains the same over time.



(iii) Describe the difference between laminar and turbulent flow. (1)Laminar Flow is a smooth straight flow, whereas turbulent flow is mixed up and churning **Results**Plus **Examiner Comments** Laminar is described as smooth and straight, neither of which is sufficient. It should describe the flow as having parallel layers. The turbulent description needs to say what is mixed up. (iii) Describe the difference between laminar and turbulent flow. (1)faminar Flow - Velocity at my point is the same reaserything moves in the same direction Turbulant flow - has various different vebrities at different points and different Directions



When this says velocity at every point IS the same, it should say velocity at any point REMAINS the same. The turbulent description needs to refer to the velocity changing at a given point.

## Question 15(b)(iv)

About a third got a mark for part (iv), even when they had a good clue from correct diagrams for parts (i) and (ii). Candidates often just linked turbulent flow to higher speeds and suggested an increased force pushing the particle.

(iv) Suggest why turbulent flow may be used to move small solid particles. It the because fur bulent flow f at high speed moving the solid particles quicker is the current' is stronger.
Results Plus Examiner Comments This only refers to an assumed greater speed of flow and implies a greater force (stronger current). It needs to include a suggestion of some vertical component of force and/or motion.
(iv) Suggest why turbulent flow may be used to move small solid particles. (1) The constant change in direction of the lines would keep the particle higher up for longer instead of it going diagonally downwards at a constant rate.
Results Laminer Comments This makes a sensible suggestion, and refers appropriately to the earlier digrams.

## Question 16(a)

Candidates usually recognised the difference between a question asking them to add labelled arrows to a diagram and one asking for a free body diagram and drew arrows from the point given in part (a). A few may have been misled by the 2 marks and only showed 2 forces, usually weight and friction or weight and normal contact force. The rest felt no such restriction on the number of forces and frequently included 4 in different directions, only a sixth getting the diagram correct. Many who added weight, friction (or air resistance) and normal contact force correctly added an additional force down the slope, often labelled thrust or resultant. While weight was usually in the correct direction, many students thought they needed all the forces to be vertical or horizontal, while others drew all forces parallel or perpendicular to the slope. These candidates may have been thinking of components. Thinking of 'normal contact force' rather than 'reaction' may help students to get the direction right.



#### Question 16(b)(c)

In part (b), a majority selected an appropriate equation, although some rearranged it incorrectly. Some tried to use v = u + at, but they generally calculated the average velocity from 11 m / 4.9 s first and didn't double it. A large majority used their acceleration or the 'show that' value to find the force, although some used g = 9.81 m s<sup>-2</sup> as the acceleration.

In part (c), the majority found the correct component of the adult's force, but rarely did any subtract the resultant force to find the resistive force. Again, clear diagrams appeared to help.

Candidates had little difficulty in applying work = force x distance and dividing this by time for power, but there was a fairly high incidence of using the wrong force, either the correct answer to (c) (i), 200 N, or the answer to (b) (ii). Units were sometimes given as N s<sup>-1</sup>.

A fair proportion used P = Fv, which is not required by the specification. With some irony, while using average velocity was a problem in (b) (i), candidates tended to go astray here by calculating and using the final velocity. Although the calculations should involve exactly the same quantities (188 N x 11 m / 4.9 s), those not using P = Fv were less likely to go astray.

(b) The o	child and sledge are pulled across level grou	nd by an adult.	
(i) <sup>2</sup>	They are pulled 11 m from rest in 4.9 s.		
	Show that the average acceleration is about $4.95$	$1 \text{ m s}^{-2}$ .	(2)
- 1 yea ( a ta ( )a ta ( )a ( a ta ( )a ( )a (	<u>11</u> -2-2 4.9	5m/s 2.25	0.46m/22
(ii)	The child and sledge have a combined mass Calculate the average resultant force on the GOTG $F=Mc$	of 40 kg. child and sledge. J	(2)
	4.(	25gx 1 m/s <sup>2</sup>	
(()))))))))))))))	Average re	sultant force = $40 N$	•

https://xtremepape.rs/

(i) Calculate the avera	ge resistive force acting while the	e sledge is being pulled. (2)
200N	20	F=Ma
	200 Cors 20 40kg	UC050
	200 (05 20.	NCAO
	187.96	N
	Average resistive for	$\text{prce} = \frac{187.94N}{1}$
ii) Calculate the average	ge power developed by the adult	in pulling the sledge 11 m. (3)
·····	Dener = H	Doox 11=
	1	2200
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	Average poy	wer = 448.98 W
N		
	<b>itc</b> Pluc	
Resi		

in part (c) the resultant force isn't used to find resistive force and, although the correct equations are used, the wrong force is used when calculating power.

(b) The child and sledge are pulled across level ground by an adult. (i) They are pulled 11 m from rest in 4.9 s. Show that the average acceleration is about  $1 \text{ m s}^{-2}$ . (2) 5= + + = a/2 @ 11= 12.005a 11 = a = 0.916 ms-2 12.005 = about 1ms-2 (ii) The child and sledge have a combined mass of 40 kg. Calculate the average resultant force on the child and sledge. (2) F = Ma60×0916 = 30.7N Average resultant force = 36.7 V (c) The force applied by the adult is 200 N at an angle of 20° to the horizontal. (i) Calculate the average resistive force acting while the sledge is being pulled. (2)2000 Horizontal component of Force apple of 2 = 200 cos 20 = 188 N 188-367 = 151N Average resistive force = ISIN (ii) Calculate the average power developed by the adult in pulling the sledge 11 m. (3)work done = Force × distance 20000520 ~11 = 20575 Work done = Power 2057 = 422w A Eine 4.9 **Results**Plus Average power =  $422\omega$ **Examiner Comments** This is a good example of a fully correct answer.

## Question 17(a)(i-ii)

Few problems were seen in (a) (i) and (ii), with over 90% getting both marks.

(a) (i) Show that the initial horizontal component of the fluid's velocity is about 5 m s<sup>-1</sup>. (1)cos(So) X7.S=4,82 (ii) Show that the initial vertical component of the fluid's velocity is about 6 m s<sup>-1</sup>. (1)cos(30) x7.5=6.495 30 **Results**<sup>2</sup> us Examiner Comments This candidate completes part (i) but then, instead of using sine, uses cosine of the other angle but subtracts from 90 incorrectly. It should be cos 40 in part (ii). (a) (i) Show that the initial horizontal component of the fluid's velocity is about 5 m s<sup>-1</sup>. (1)COS 50 X 7.5 = 4.8 M51 (ii) Show that the initial vertical component of the fluid's velocity is about 6 m  $s^{-1}$ . (1)17.52-482 = 5.76m51 tan 50 X 4.8 = 5.72 ms sin 50 X 7.5 = 5.75 ms **Examiner Comments** 

This candidate calculates the answer in part (ii) in several different ways, but they all work.

#### Question 17(a)(iii)

In (a) (iii), the majority set out on the route of considering vertical and horizontal motion independently, finding the time to the highest point and going on to find the range. A proportion of those using this method failed to double the time to the highest point.

Errors included using  $v^2 = u^2 + 2as$  and giving the height found as the final answer, reversing the components, using 7.5 m s<sup>-1</sup> instead of one of the components and applying acceleration to the horizontal motion.

Some candidates used a single range equation, but some of these lost a lot of marks by not quoting or completing it correctly.



## Question 17(b)(i)

For the (b) (i) calculation, three quarters got credit for using the kinetic energy equation. Many of those who did not get this mark forgot to substitute using velocity squared. About a half got the second mark. Those who did not usually neglected to square velocity, used the wrong mass or the wrong velocity or calculated kinetic energy separately for the mass before and the mass after and found the difference. Quite a few gave N as the unit.

(b) (i) Calculate the total amount of kinetic energy transferred to the fluid. total mass of bottle, contents and sweets before the experiment = 2.24 kgtotal mass of bottle, contents and sweets after the experiment = 0.79 kg(2)ke= 1/2 mv2 2-24 ke 0.5×1.45×7.5 = 3.6375] Kinetic energy = 5.4375 ] ResultsPlus **Examiner Comments** Although the formula has been quoted correctly, once substituted this only includes velocity, not velocity squared. (4) BIEK = 2mv? - 2x 2.24 x 7.52 = 63] 63-2222= AEL = 5x 0.79 × 7-53 - 22.22 Kinetic energy = 40.787 **Results**Plus **Examiner Comments** This candidate gets credit for using the kinetic energy formula, but has used two masses to calculate energy separately and subtracted one value from the other. As part of the 2.24 kg and the 0.79 kg don't move at all, this value has no meaning. The difference in mass should have been found before carrying out the calculation.

In (b) (ii) and (b) (iii), answers were often reversed in comparison to those required and only a quarter gained any marks. For example, air resistance was very often quoted in (ii) when it was a possible cause in part (iii). Many candidates used inaccuracies in measurements or rounding errors as explanations. The key to this part was that the initial speed had been calculated as 7.5 m s<sup>-1</sup> using the measured range and assuming all the liquid leaving the bottle had this velocity. Considering measured mass and calculated velocity, as they were used to find kinetic energy, might have been a good way to start. Kinetic energy would be too large if either was too large, and the context and photograph suggest a range of velocities and spilled liquid affecting the mass. Kinetic energy would be too small if either was too small, and the context suggests velocity.

(ii) Give a reason why your value of kinetic energy might be higher than the true value. (1)Because energy is lost through sound. (iii) Explain why your value of kinetic energy might be lower than the true value. (2)Because the speed of some parts of the fluid are going faster than other any one part way readend **Results**Plus **Examiner Comments** This has the basic idea for both reasons, but in the wrong order. The answer to (iii) would get the mark if it was given for part (ii). Energy lost through sound is a bit imprecise for a part (iiii) answer, but it would identify the calculated energy being less than the original energy. not all of the liquid would have branded the distance used (iii) Explain why your value of kinetic energy might be lower than the true value. (2)because the fluid would have taken the path of a parabolic curve **Examiner Comments** This does give a correct answer for part (ii), but the answer ot part (iii) is not relevant.

#### Question 18(a)(i)

(a) (i) While three quarters got some credit, often for mentioning a straight line, only half got two marks. Many of these said 'force is proportional to length' rather than 'force is proportional to extension' or 'force is proportional to change in length'. This is another example where candidates would do well to simply learn a law off by heart. For (a) (i), having 'force is directly proportional to extension' in mind would have helped many.

A significant minority thought it didn't follow Hooke's law because the line didn't pass through the origin. They should be familiar with length vs force and extension vs force graphs and know the difference. It was somewhat disappointing that so few candidates incorporated this into their correct references to extension being proportional to force.

(a) (i) Explain whether the results follow Hooke's law. Yes they do become the line is stronght therefore, the length and the force put on is directly proportional. It has not reached the limit of proportionality yet **Results**Plus Examiner Comments This identifies a straight line, but links force to length rather than extension. Saying 'the force put on' is somewhat ambiguous as it could mean the force added each time or the total force. (2) The results do pollow Hooke's law because the graph is a straight line which means Force is directly proportional to Length (or Extension). **Results**Plus **Examiner Comments** This may not be deliberate, but candidates must choose their answer and not leave it to the examiner. This candidate should choose extension.

#### Question 18(a)(ii)

For (a) (ii), about three quarters of candidates who attempted this related stiffness to the gradient, although a fair proportion simply used two values from the graph and did not find the extension. A number of candidates did not read the graph values accurately enough and some used particularly small triangles. Scaling problems were usually eliminated because of the 'show that' answer.

A number of candidates did not attempt this part. It might be that they were put off by length being used, or perhaps they didn't recognise the term *stiffness*, although it is in the specification.



## Question 18(a)(iii)

Part (a) (iii) saw about three quarters identifying and using a correct formula, but there were again problems with not using an extension and misreading the scale and additional problems with cm and m. Directly calculating area from the graph usually resulted in the inclusion of an extra quantity of energy equal to the original length x the force chosen from an extra rectangle on the graph.

	<ul><li>(iii) Calculate the elastic strain energy stored in the Slinky when the applied force is 0.70 N.</li></ul>
	$E_{al} = f \Delta x$ (3)
	= 0.7 × 257 = 179.9 N
	Elastic strain energy = $179.9 \text{ JJ}$
This candida of 1/2. A len	Results Plus Examiner Comments te has used force x extension, forgetting the factor gth has also been used, rather than an extension.
	E = 'B FAX.
	- 0.5×0.7×2.6
	- 0.91
	Elastic strain energy =
	ResultsPlus
	Examiner Comments
	This uses the correct formula, but again uses length rather than extension. At F = 0.7 N the extension is 1.0 m.

Part (b) highlighted a lot of confusion between force and energy. For example, in part (i), some thought the spring was stretched more because gravitational potential energy increased as height increased. Some thought the Slinky stretched more at the top because the hand was pulling it up from the top and others unfortunately thought the bottom was supported by the ground. A majority managed to make some link with increased force at the top or having to support all the coils below.

Many candidates did not seem familiar with the centre of gravity of an irregular object, often placing it precisely in the centre or at the very bottom in (b) (ii).

(b) The photograph shows part of the Slinky hanging from a person's hand. (i) Explain why the coils are extended more at the top than the bottom. (2)Because the Gils at He top to are to under strain from He makes of the rest of the Stinky (ii) Mark and label the approximate position of the centre of gravity of the Slinky on the photograph above. (1)**Results**Plus **Examiner Comments** This states that the top coils are supporting more of the slinky, but doesn't link it to a greater force. The centre of gravity is in a sensible position.

33

(b) The photograph shows part of the Slinky hanging from a person's hand. (i) Explain why the coils are extended more at the top than the bottom. (2)There is more mass acting down words near the top of the slinker which counteracts the elastic energy stored. His causes ble estertion. (ii) Mark and label the approximate position of the centre of gravity of the Slinky on the photograph above. (1)**Results**<sup>2</sup> us

Examiner Comments

This candidate has indicated that a greater mass of the slinky is below the upper coils but fails to link extension to force, trying to explain in terms of energy instead. The centre of gravity is not on the central axis of the coil, although it is positioned at a sensible vertical position. In (b) (iii) the majority linked the ball to the action of gravity only and about a third successfully compared this to the additional effect of elasticity on the Slinky, but they were far more likely to do so in terms of energy than force. Despite a clear instruction to consider forces, forces were not mentioned at all, unless it was to talk of strain energy pulling the spring down.

Candidates who mentioned weight (or gravitational potential energy) for the ball and tension (or elastic potential energy) for the Slinky did not always also mention the gravitational effect on the Slinky to establish a full comparison. A small proportion who correctly compared the forces went on to mention the effect of the extra force, i.e. an increased acceleration of the top coils.

There was sometimes reference to less air resistance for the Slinky, and a number of candidates thought compressive forces were acting.

For the final part candidates were only asked to make a suggestion of a cause rather than to explain it, but few mentioned that there was an upwards force as well as a downwards force or simply invoked Newton's first law to say that the forces must be balanced. Some suggested that the bottom coils had to wait for the top coils to catch up.

35



1 This is an example of an answer mixing energy and force. It says the elastic strain energy pulls coils downwards, showing confusion between force and energy. It does identify weight as the sole force acting on the ball. It just quotes 'falls faster' and doesn't relate this to acceleration. 2 This may have some relevance, but doesn't explain the question in any way.

https://xtremepape.rs/--



#### Paper Summary

Candidates who used clear diagrams and set out their data in problems performed more consistently in calculations.

Those who were able to quote the required laws directly and concisely had a clear advantage in applying them to new situations.

## **Grade Boundaries**

Grade boundaries for this, and all other papers, can be found on the website on this link: <a href="http://www.edexcel.com/iwantto/Pages/grade-boundaries.aspx">http://www.edexcel.com/iwantto/Pages/grade-boundaries.aspx</a>

Further copies of this publication are available from Edexcel Publications, Adamsway, Mansfield, Notts, NG18 4FN

Telephone 01623 467467 Fax 01623 450481 Email <u>publications@linneydirect.com</u> Order Code US026585 January 2011

For more information on Edexcel qualifications, please visit <a href="http://www.edexcel.com/quals">www.edexcel.com/quals</a>

Edexcel Limited. Registered in England and Wales no.4496750 Registered Office: One90 High Holborn, London, WC1V 7BH





Llywodraeth Cynulliad Cymru Welsh Assembly Government

